Please refer to Appendix – Important Disclosures.

**Weight of Evidence Neutral Heading Into Q4**

**Highlights:**
- After Two Rate Cuts, Fed Appears Data Dependent
- Outside of Manufacturing, Economy Still Chugging Along
- Margin Contraction Could Pressure Valuations
- Equity Funds Seeing Inflows
- Political Noise Could Elongate Pre-Election Volatility
- Breadth Improving, but Not Yet Bullish

Perspective. How you feel about the stock market right now can depend on your perspective – what you are looking at and over what time frame. Considering only the performance of the S&P 500 over the course of 2019 (up nearly 20% for the year and just a couple percent below all-time highs) might lead to a discussion of persistent strength and cyclical uptrends. Looking only at the Value Line Geometric Index (a broader albeit more obscure index that provides a sense of how the median stock is faring) since early 2018 might lead to a discussion of down trends and stock market weakness. Incoming economic data can be seen as pointing to impending weakness (the manufacturing and overseas-related data) or remarkable resilience (building permits & housing starts at new recovery highs) as the economic expansion enters its second decade.

**Outlook Summary**

Despite hints of improvement, Weight of evidence remains neutral.

- Political uncertainties could weigh on consumer confidence and impact growth outlook.
- As growth concerns fade, globally-based, broadly supported cyclical bull market could emerge.
- November/December could provide improving backdrop for stocks.

**Indicator Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Factors (What Could Happen)</th>
<th>Bullish</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Bearish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Fundamentals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Factors (What Is Happening)</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investor Sentiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal Patterns/Trends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape (Breadth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight of the Evidence = Neutral 0

Maintaining perspective in the face of mixed stock market and economic data is a challenge even without rising political tensions in advance of next year’s Presidential election. Distinguishing between news and noise will be as difficult as ever. We strive to help investors navigate this environment by relying not on feelings, but on the weight of the evidence. While we expect that conditions might improve going forward, we do not yet have that evidence in hand and so maintain a neutral view on stocks for now.
The Federal Reserve, and with them most central banks around the world, are lowering interest rates. The Fed lowered the fed funds rate at both the July and September FOMC meetings. The dot plot published after the September meeting shows that at this point there is not a clear majority of FOMC participants that view further easing in 2019 as appropriate. The futures market expects another cut this year – if not in October then in December. Whether the Fed meets those expectations will depend on the tone of the incoming economic data, which has recently has been exceeding expectations.

While the data on economic growth (more on that in a moment) gets the bulk of the attention, there is reason not to overlook the inflation data. The inflation picture is not totally benign. The six-month change in the trimmed-mean PCE inflation indicator has risen to its highest level in a decade. This measure (published by the Dallas Fed) is moving in the direction of the median CPI (published by the Cleveland Fed). These academically-rigorous inflation measures show more price pressure than the politically expedient core inflation measures that exclude food and energy prices. This could complicate the market’s expectation of additional easing by the Fed.
Growth has generally slowed across the economy, though the latest data on new home sales and housing starts/building permits shows activity moving to new cycle highs. The 12-month trends for both manufacturing and non-manufacturing activity have rolled over. Weakness in manufacturing has been more acute. While this represents a smaller share of overall economic activity than it has in the past, manufacturing data is still seen as being a leading indicator for the economy. We are keeping a close eye on manufacturing new orders – persistent weakness there would have negative implications for growth going forward and could coincide with a shift in investor appetite from the “risk off” pattern of the past two years to one of “risk aversion.”

Too much can be made of political headlines but they are a reality that many deal with. If rising uncertainty (whether because of news on tariffs or congressional investigations) leads to a sustained decline in consumer confidence, downside risks in the economy would likely grow. Already, consumer confidence is lower than where it was a year ago, a decline consistent with deteriorating growth prospects. If the consumer shakes off these concerns, any market volatility associated with investigations is likely to be short-lived. If confidence (and the President’s approval rating) slips from here, than consumer spending could weaken and the economic expansion could face a test heading into next year’s election.
Economic uncertainties are coming at a time when corporate profitability has already become more challenging. Rising wages, while good for the consumer, mean that labor costs are going up and that has negative impact on profit margins. Corporate profits (as reported in the GDP data) peaked five years ago and as a percent of GDP, profits are at their lowest level in a decade. With profit margins contracting, a higher level of revenue growth will be needed to fuel earnings growth.

This increasingly challenging earnings growth backdrop comes at a time when stock market valuations are already stretched. While not at the highest level on record, valuations are at a level where forward returns for stocks have been muted. Valuations are elevated in the US but international stocks are more attractively priced. For more on this please see our July Portfolio Perspectives piece that discusses the prospect of international leadership going forward.
Investor sentiment is as mixed as ever and seems to swing widely from week to week. Discerning the underlying view of market participants is as challenging as ever. The latest Investors Intelligence data shows more than 55% bulls and less than 17% bears, while the AAII survey of individual investors shows more bears than bulls. One notable sentiment development in September has been flows moving toward equities. Equity fund outflows have been the norm of late (though even with this, household equity exposure remains elevated) but the first few weeks of September have seen a pronounced shift. Beneath the noise of weekly surveys, investors may be turning more complacent.

The typical seasonal pattern is for stocks to bottom late in September or October and then finish the year on an up note. Last year’s Q4 weakness was a notable exception to that pattern. Looking beyond annual patterns, Election cycle patterns suggest stocks could work higher into mid-2020 before experiencing pre-election volatility. With political noise on the rise, there is a distinct possibility that election-related volatility will not wait until the middle of next year to emerge. While this could hinge on how consumer confidence responds, we may be facing an elongated cycle of noise and volatility.
Expectations of better stock market conditions going forward are dependent on an improving view on the economy (consumer confidence will be the key there) and the health of the broad market. Stock market breadth has been improving in 2019. Our industry group trend indicator has made a series of higher lows which is an encouraging shift from last year. However, the dominant pattern of lower highs that emerged in 2018 has not been broken. Moreover, the Value Line Geometric Index has recently made a series of lower highs and lower lows and is still 10% below its 2018 peak.

We do have evidence that would suggest this improving breadth backdrop is likely to come. We saw an early-year round of breadth thrusts as stocks rallied off their December lows and those have been followed with further breadth thrusts in September. These argue for stock market strength in 2020 and the possible emergence of a new cyclical up-trend. While the January breadth thrusts helped us move breadth from bearish to neutral in our weight of the evidence framework, we are waiting for the confirmation mentioned above to move breadth to bullish.
BAIRD STRATEGIC ASSET ALLOCATION MODEL PORTFOLIOS

Baird offers six strategic asset allocation model portfolios for consideration (see table below), four of which have a mix of equity and fixed income. An individual’s personal situation, preferences and objectives may suggest an allocation more suitable than those shown below. Please consult a Baird Financial Advisor in determining an asset allocation that will meet your needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Portfolio</th>
<th>Mix: Stocks / (Bonds + Cash)</th>
<th>Risk Tolerance</th>
<th>Strategic Asset Allocation Model Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Growth</td>
<td>100 / 0</td>
<td>Well above average</td>
<td>Emphasis on providing aggressive growth of capital with high fluctuations in the annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Growth</td>
<td>80 / 20</td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Emphasis on providing growth of capital with moderately high fluctuations in the annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth with Income</td>
<td>60 / 40</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Emphasis on providing moderate growth of capital and some current income with moderate fluctuations in annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income with Growth</td>
<td>40 / 60</td>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>Emphasis on providing high current income and some growth of capital with moderate fluctuations in the annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative Income</td>
<td>20 / 80</td>
<td>Well below average</td>
<td>Emphasis on providing high current income with relatively small fluctuations in the annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Preservation</td>
<td>0 / 100</td>
<td>Well below average</td>
<td>Emphasis on preserving capital while generating current income with relatively small fluctuations in the annual returns and overall market value of the portfolio.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baird’s Investment Policy Committee offers a view of potential tactical allocations among equity, fixed income and cash, based upon a consideration of U.S. Federal Reserve policy, underlying U.S. economic fundamentals, investor sentiment, valuations, seasonal trends, and broad market trends. As conditions change, the Investment Policy Committee adjusts the weightings. The table below shows both the normal range and current recommended allocation to stocks, bonds and cash. Please consult a Baird Financial Advisor in determining if an adjustment to your strategic asset allocation is appropriate in your situation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class / Model Portfolio</th>
<th>All Growth</th>
<th>Capital Growth</th>
<th>Growth with Income</th>
<th>Income with Growth</th>
<th>Conservative Income</th>
<th>Capital Preservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested allocation</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal range</td>
<td>90 – 100%</td>
<td>70 - 90%</td>
<td>50 - 70%</td>
<td>30 - 50%</td>
<td>10 - 30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested allocation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal range</td>
<td>0 - 0%</td>
<td>10 - 30%</td>
<td>30 - 50%</td>
<td>40 - 60%</td>
<td>45 - 65%</td>
<td>55 – 85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested allocation</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal range</td>
<td>0 - 10%</td>
<td>0 - 20%</td>
<td>0 - 20%</td>
<td>10 - 30%</td>
<td>25 - 45%</td>
<td>15 - 45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROBERT W. BAIRD’S INVESTMENT POLICY COMMITTEE

Bruce A. Bittles  
Managing Director  
Chief Investment Strategist

B. Craig Elder  
Director  
PWM – Fixed Income Analyst

Jay E. Schwister, CFA  
Managing Director  
Baird Advisors, Sr. PM

Kathy Blake Carey, CFA  
Director  
Director – PWM Research & Planning

Jon A. Langenfeld, CFA  
Managing Director  
Head of Global Equities

Timothy M. Steffen, CFA, CFP®  
Director  
Director of Advanced Planning

Patrick J. Cronin, CFA, CAIA  
Director  
Institutional Consulting

Warren D. Pierson, CFA  
Managing Director  
Baird Advisors, Sr. PM

Laura K. Thurow, CFA  
Managing Director  
Director – Wealth Solutions & Operations

William A. Delwiche, CMT, CFA  
Managing Director  
Investment Strategist
Appendix – Important Disclosures and Analyst Certification

Analyst Certification

The senior research analyst(s) certifies that the views expressed in this research report and/or financial model accurately reflect such senior analyst's personal views about the subject securities or issuers and that no part of his or her compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in the research report.

Disclaimers

This is not a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any company, industry or security. The opinions expressed here reflect our judgment at this date and are subject to change. The information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable, but we cannot guarantee the accuracy.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON COMPANIES MENTIONED HEREIN IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST

The Dow Jones Industrial Average, S&P 500, S&P 400 and Russell 2000 and any other indices mentioned are unmanaged common stock indices used to measure and report performance of various sectors of the stock market; direct investment in indices is not available. Baird is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license. Baird is regulated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, FINRA, and various other self-regulatory organizations and those laws and regulations may differ from Australian laws. This report has been prepared in accordance with the laws and regulations governing United States broker-dealers and not Australian laws.

United Kingdom ("UK") disclosure requirements for the purpose of distributing this research into the UK and other countries for which Robert W. Baird Limited holds a MiFID passport.
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Investment involves risk. The price of securities may fluctuate and past performance is not indicative of future results. Any recommendation contained in the research report does not have regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation and the particular needs of any individuals. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to the research report. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice.

Robert W. Baird Limited and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated have in place organisational and administrative arrangements for the prevention, avoidance, and disclosure of conflicts of interest with respect to research recommendations. Robert W. Baird Limited’s Conflicts of Interest Policy, available here, outlines the approach Robert W. Baird Limited takes in relation to conflicts of interest and includes detail as to its procedures in place to identify, manage and control conflicts of interest. Robert W. Baird Limited and or one of its affiliates may be party to an agreement with the issuer that is the subject of this report relating to the provision of services of investment firms. Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated’s policies and procedures are designed to identify and effectively manage conflicts of interest related to the preparation and content of research reports and to promote objective and reliable research that reflects the truly held opinions of research analysts. Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated’s research analysts certify on a quarterly basis that such research reports accurately reflect their personal views.

This material is strictly confidential to the recipient and not intended for persons in jurisdictions where the distribution or publication of this research report is not permitted under the applicable laws or regulations of such jurisdiction.

Robert W. Baird Limited is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license and is regulated by the FCA under UK laws, which may differ from Australian laws. As such, this document has not been prepared in accordance with Australian laws.

Copyright 2019 Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated